

REVIEW REPORT

1. Knowledge of the current state of the subject

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

2. The bibliography is relevant and up-to-date

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

3. Correspondence of the objectives and / or hypotheses with the ideas, proposals, approaches, deficiencies or limitations in knowledge discussed in the theoretical framework of the research

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

4. The methodological approach and the techniques used are adapted to the objectives and / or hypotheses formulated

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

5. Charts and graphs are meaningful and necessary

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

6. The sources used are adequate and complete for the case

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

7. The analysis of the data and the structure of the argument are correct

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

8. The results are adequate with the objectives and / or hypotheses raised

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

9. The conclusions are solidly supported by the research results

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

10. It is clearly stated what the contributions of the article are, and how they contribute to expand, complete or discuss what is known so far

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

11. Relevance and scientific interest of the work: originality and novelty of its approach (subject studied, theoretical approaches, methodological procedures, consulted sources, etc.), execution and / or results

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

12. Existence of other works already published that reduce interest, originality or novelty to the subject, approach, execution and / or results of the work

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

13. The structure of the text is adequate and includes the necessary elements to assess it: introduction, methodology, theoretical framework, argumentation and conclusions

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

14. The presentation is clear and understandable from a formal point of view

- ☐ Deficient
- ☐ Questionable
- ☐ Well
- ☐ Excellent

FINAL ASSESSMENT

- ☐ NOT PUBLISHABLE
- ☐ PUBLISHABLE WITH MINOR MODIFICATIONS
- ☐ PUBLISHABLE WITH MAJOR CHANGES
- ☐ PUBLICABLE

COMMENTS FOR THE AUTHOR